

FREE HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AND INTERPOLATION

GELU POPESCU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain a noncommutative multivariable analogue of the classical Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem for analytic functions with positive real parts on the open unit disc. Given a function $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where Λ is an arbitrary subset of the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < 1\}$, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a free holomorphic function g with complex coefficients on the noncommutative open unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} g \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = f(z), \quad z \in \Lambda,$$

where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The proof employs several results from noncommutative multivariable operator theory and a noncommutative Cayley transform (introduced and studied in the present paper) acting from the set of all free holomorphic functions with positive real parts to the set of all bounded free holomorphic functions. All the results of this paper are obtained in the more general setting of free holomorphic functions with operator-valued coefficients. As consequences, we deduce some results concerning operator-valued analytic interpolation on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$.

INTRODUCTION

The classical Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem ([23], [21]) for analytic functions with positive real parts on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$ is the following: given m distinct points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and m complex numbers $w_1, \dots, w_m$, find an analytic function in the open unit disc with $\operatorname{Re} f(\lambda) \geq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, such that $f(\lambda_i) = w_i$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$. It was proved that this interpolation problem has a solution if and only if the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{w_1 + \overline{w_1}}{1 - \lambda_1 \overline{\lambda_1}} & \dots & \frac{w_1 + \overline{w_m}}{1 - \lambda_1 \overline{\lambda_m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{w_m + \overline{w_1}}{1 - \lambda_m \overline{\lambda_1}} & \dots & \frac{w_m + \overline{w_m}}{1 - \lambda_m \overline{\lambda_m}} \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive semidefinite. In this case, f has the integral Riesz-Herglotz ([42], [17]) representation

$$f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{e^{i\theta} + \lambda}{e^{i\theta} - \lambda} d\mu(e^{i\theta}) + i\operatorname{Im} f(0), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D},$$

for some finite positive Borel measure μ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$. The Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem has been studied further by several authors and generalized to various settings (see [46], [44], [1], [12], [32], [2], [8], [11], [37], [20], and the references there in).

In the last two decades, significant progress has been made in noncommutative multivariable operator theory regarding noncommutative dilation theory and its applications to interpolation in several variables ([24], [28], [40], [38], [30], [4], [36]), and unitary invariants for n -tuples of operators ([25], [3], [34], [35], [5], [40]). In related areas of research, we remark the work of Helton, McCullough, Putinar, and Vinnikov, on symmetric noncommutative polynomials ([13], [14], [15], [16]), and the work of Muhly and Solel on representations of tensor algebras over C^* correspondences (see [19], [20]).

Date: September 14, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47A57; 47A56; 47A13; Secondary: 46L52; 46T25.

Key words and phrases. Multivariable operator theory; Free holomorphic functions; Free pluriharmonic functions; Cayley transform; Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation; Herglotz transform; Fock space; Creation operators.

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

In [39], [41] we developed a theory of holomorphic (resp. pluriharmonic) functions in several noncommuting (free) variables and provide a framework for the study of arbitrary n -tuples of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Several classical results from complex analysis have free analogues in this noncommutative multivariable setting. This theory enhances our program to develop a *free* analogue of Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş theory [47], for row contractions. We recall that a map $f : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is called free holomorphic function with scalar coefficients on the noncommutative open unit ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 := \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \|X_1 X_1^* + \dots + X_n X_n^*\|^{1/2} < 1\}$$

if f has a representation

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where $\{a_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ are complex numbers with $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |a_{\alpha}|^2)^{1/2k} \leq 1$, and $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is the free semigroup with n generators. In the particular case when $n = 1$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$, we recover the analytic functions on the open unit disc.

The main goal of the present paper is to obtain an analogue of the classical Nevanlinna–Pick interpolation problem, for free holomorphic functions f with $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Re} f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

for any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We prove that, given a function $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where Λ is an arbitrary subset of the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$, there exists a free holomorphic function g with complex coefficients such that

$$\operatorname{Re} g \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = f(z), \quad z \in \Lambda,$$

if and only if the map

$$\Lambda \times \Lambda \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{f(z) + \overline{f(w)}}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in \mathbb{C}$$

is positive semidefinite. In this case, we have a Riesz–Herglotz type representation for g , i.e.,

$$g(X) = (\mu \otimes \operatorname{id})[(I + R_X)(I - R_X)^{-1}] + i\operatorname{Im} g(0)$$

for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, where $R_X := R_1^* \otimes X_1 + \dots + R_n^* \otimes X_n$ is the reconstruction operator and μ is a completely positive linear functional on the Cuntz–Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ generated by the right creation operators on the full Fock space with n generators. We should add that all the results of this paper are obtained in the more general setting of free holomorphic functions with operator-valued coefficients.

As consequences, we deduce some results concerning operator-valued analytic interpolation on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$. In particular, in the scalar case when $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the above-mentioned positivity condition, we show that f has an analytic extension $\varphi : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the map

$$\mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{\varphi(z) + \overline{\varphi(w)}}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in \mathbb{C}$$

is positive semidefinite, and φ has the Riesz–Herglotz type representation

$$\varphi(z) = \nu[(I + z_1 R_1^* + \dots + z_n R_n^*)(I - z_1 R_1^* - \dots - z_n R_n^*)^{-1}] + i\operatorname{Im} \varphi(0)$$

for any $z := (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$, where ν is a completely positive linear functional on the Cuntz–Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$.

In Section 1, we introduce a noncommutative Cayley transform acting from the set of all free holomorphic functions with positive real parts to the set of all bounded free holomorphic functions. This transform plays a crucial role in this paper and, due to its properties, enable us to use several results from noncommutative multivariable operator theory in order to prove our interpolation results in Section 2 and Section 3.

Finally, we mention that the noncommutative Cayley transform will be a key tool in a forthcoming paper, where we study free pluriharmonic majorants and obtain a description of all solutions of a generalization of the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem (see [24], [28]).

1. NONCOMMUTATIVE CAYLEY TRANSFORMS

We introduce a Cayley type transform acting from the set of all free holomorphic functions with positive real parts to the set of all bounded free holomorphic functions. This transform will play an important role in the next section where we solve a Nevanlinna-Pick type interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts.

First, we need a result concerning Cayley transforms of bounded accretive operators which traces back to von Neumann [48] (see also [47]). Since we could not find a precise reference for the following version, we sketch a proof for completeness.

Proposition 1.1. *An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction ($\|T\| \leq 1$) such that $I - T$ is invertible if and only if there exists $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\operatorname{Re} A \geq 0$ such that T is equal to the Cayley transform of A , i.e.,*

$$T = \mathcal{C}(A) := (A - I)(A + I)^{-1}.$$

Moreover, in this case, A is the inverse Cayley transform of T , i.e.,

$$A = \mathcal{C}^{-1}(T) := (I + T)(I - T)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Assume that $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $I - T$ is invertible. Define the operator $A := (I + T)(I - T)^{-1}$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} A + A^* &= (I - T)^{-1}(I + T) + (I + T^*)(I - T^*)^{-1} \\ &= 2(I - T)^{-1}(I - TT^*)(I - T^*)^{-1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\operatorname{Re} A \geq 0$. On the other hand, we have $A = 2(I - T)^{-1} - I$ which implies that $I + A$ is invertible. Consequently, one can easily see that $T = (A - I)(A + I)^{-1}$.

Conversely, let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\operatorname{Re} A \geq 0$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ah + h\|^2 &= \|Ah\|^2 + \langle \operatorname{Re} Ah, h \rangle + \|h\|^2 \\ &\geq \|Ah\|^2 - \langle \operatorname{Re} Ah, h \rangle + \|h\|^2 = \|Ah - h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence, we deduce that $\|Ah + h\| \geq \|h\|$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Similar inequalities, as above, hold if we replace A with A^* . Therefore, we also have $\|A^*h + h\| \geq \|h\|$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Since the operators $A + I$ and $A^* + I$ are bounded below, we infer that $A + I$ is invertible. On the other hand, the above-mentioned inequalities, imply that the operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $T(A + I)h := (A - I)h$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, is a contraction and $T = (A - I)(A + I)^{-1}$. Hence, an easy calculation shows that $I - T = 2(A + I)^{-1}$ and, consequently, $I - T$ is invertible. Moreover, we have $A = (I + T)(I - T)^{-1}$. The proof is complete. $\square$

We recall from [39] a few facts concerning free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative open ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$ and the identity g_0 . The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha| := 0$ if $\alpha = g_0$ and $|\alpha| := k$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote $X_\alpha := X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$ and $X_{g_0} := I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ be a sequence of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ and define $R \in [0, \infty]$ by setting

$$\frac{1}{R} := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

We call R *radius of convergence* of the formal power series $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$ in noncommuting indeterminates $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$, where $Z_\alpha := Z_{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_k}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$ and $Z_{g_0} := 1$.

A map $F : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *free holomorphic function* on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ if there exists $A_{(\alpha)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, such that the formal power series $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$ has radius of convergence ≥ 1 and such that

$$F(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where the series converges in the operator norm topology. We proved in [39] that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$ is convergent in the operator norm for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2k} \leq 1$;
- (iii) the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}$ is convergent in the operator norm for any $r \in [0, 1]$.

We remark that if f is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, then its scalar representation (when $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$) is an operator-valued analytic function $z \mapsto f(z)$ on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$. The converse is not true in general if $n \geq 2$. The set of all free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ is denoted by $Hol(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Let $H^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ denote the set of all elements F in $Hol(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ such that

$$\|F\|_{\infty} := \sup \|F(X_1, \dots, X_n)\| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all n -tuples of operators $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. According to [39] and [41], $H^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ can be identified to the operator algebra $B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} F_n^{\infty}$ (the weakly closed algebra generated by the spatial tensor product), where F_n^{∞} is the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra (see [27], [26], [30]).

We say that G is a self-adjoint *free pluriharmonic function* on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ if there exists a free holomorphic function F on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ such that $G = \operatorname{Re} F$, i.e.,

$$G(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \operatorname{Re} F(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \frac{1}{2}(F(X_1, \dots, X_n) + F(X_1, \dots, X_n)^*)$$

for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. An arbitrary free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ has the form $H := H_1 + iH_2$, where H_1 and H_2 are self-adjoint free harmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. We remark that in the particular case when $n = 1$, a function is free pluriharmonic on $[B(\mathcal{H})]_1$ if and only if its scalar representation (when $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$) is harmonic on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. The study of free pluriharmonic functions was pursued in our extensive paper [39]. Some of those results will be used in the present paper.

In what follows we consider a few preliminary results concerning formal power series in noncommutative indeterminates and operator-valued coefficients.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}$ be a formal power series in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$, coefficients $A_{(\alpha)}$ in $B(\mathcal{E})$, and such that $A_{(g_0)}$ is an invertible operator. Then f has an inverse as a power series with operator-valued coefficients.*

Proof. Denote $A_{(0)} := A_{(g_0)}$ and note that $f = (A_{(0)} \otimes 1)(1 - g)$, where $g = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} D_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}$ and $D_{(\alpha)} := -A_{(0)}^{-1} A_{(\alpha)}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, g^m defines a power series $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq m} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}$ and it makes sense to consider the formal power series

$$\varphi = 1 + g + g^2 + \dots$$

We call the operator $C_{(\alpha)}$ the α -coefficient of g^m . Notice that if $m > k$, then the term g^m has all coefficients of order $\leq k$ equal to 0. Thus, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \leq k$, then we may define the α -coefficient of φ as the α -coefficient of the finite sum $1 + g + g^2 + \dots + g^m$. Notice also that $\varphi = 1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} F_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}$, where

$$F_{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} D_{(\gamma_1)} \cdots D_{(\gamma_j)} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Since $(1 - g)\varphi = \varphi(1 - g) = 1$, we have $(1 - g)^{-1} = \varphi$. Now, it is clear that the inverse of f satisfies the relations

$$f^{-1} = (1 - g)^{-1}(A_{(0)}^{-1} \otimes 1) = A_{(0)}^{-1} \otimes 1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} F_{(\alpha)} A_{(0)}^{-1} \otimes Z_{\alpha}.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_-[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$) be the set of all formal power series $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$ such that $I + A_{(0)}$ (resp. $I - A_{(0)}$) is an invertible operator in $B(\mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_1[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$) the set of all formal power series in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$, coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, and constant term 0 (resp. 1).

Proposition 1.3. *The Cayley transform $\tilde{\Gamma} : \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+[Z_1, \dots, Z_n] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_-[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ defined by*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(f) := (f - 1)(1 + f)^{-1}, \quad f \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$$

is a bijection, and its inverse satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{-1}(g) = (1 - g)^{-1}(g + 1), \quad g \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_-[Z_1, \dots, Z_n].$$

In particular, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a bijection from $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_1[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ to $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 1.2, the map $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is well-defined and the coefficient $B_{(0)}$ of the power series $\tilde{\Gamma}(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$ satisfies the equation $B_{(0)} = (A_{(0)} - I)(I + A_{(0)})^{-1}$. Consequently, we have $I - B_{(0)} = 2(I + A_{(0)})^{-1}$, which shows that the power series $\tilde{\Gamma}(f)$ is in $\mathbb{C}_-[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$.

If $f_1, f_2 \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}(f_1) = \tilde{\Gamma}(f_2)$, then $(f_1 - 1)(1 + f_2) = (1 + f_1)(f_2 - 1)$, whence $f_1 = f_2$. To prove that the Cayley transform is surjective, let $g \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_-[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$. It is easy to see that, due to Lemma 1.2, the power series $(1 - g)^{-1}(g + 1)$ is in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}[(1 - g)^{-1}(g + 1)] &= [(1 - g)^{-1}(g + 1) - 1] [1 + (1 - g)^{-1}(g + 1)]^{-1} \\ &= [(1 - g)^{-1}(g + 1) - 1] [(1 - g)^{-1}(1 - g + g + 1)]^{-1} \\ &= g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a bijection. The last part of this proposition is now obvious. $\square$

Let H_n be an n -dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$, where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n) := \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n . Define the left (resp. right) creation operators S_i (resp. R_i), $i = 1, \dots, n$, acting on $F^2(H_n)$ by setting

$$S_i \varphi := e_i \otimes \varphi, \quad \varphi \in F^2(H_n),$$

(resp. $R_i \varphi := \varphi \otimes e_i$, $\varphi \in F^2(H_n)$.) The noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$) is the norm closed algebra generated by the left (resp. right) creation operators and the identity. The noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ (resp. R_n^∞) is the weakly closed version of $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$). These algebras were introduced in [27] in connection with a noncommutative von Neumann inequality (see [49] for the classical case when $n = 1$). They have been studied in several papers [25], [26], [29], [30], [31], [33], and recently in [10], [9], [8], [2], [34], [7], [22], [35], and [40].

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$, and the identity g_0 . We denote $e_\alpha := e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $e_{g_0} := 1$. Note that $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F^2(H_n)$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$, be the set of all polynomials of degree $\leq m$ in $e_1, \dots, e_n$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)} := \text{span}\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \leq m\},$$

and define the nilpotent operators $S_i^{(m)} : \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ by

$$S_i^{(m)} := P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} S_i|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are the left creation operators on the Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ and $P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ onto $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. Notice that $S_\alpha^{(m)} = 0$ if $|\alpha| \geq m + 1$. According to [40], the n -tuple

of operators $(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ is the universal model for row contractions $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ with $T_\alpha = 0$ for $|\alpha| \geq m+1$, and the following constrained von Neumann type inequality holds:

$$\|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\|$$

for any noncommutative polynomial $p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We also know that $f \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ if and only if $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\| < \infty$. Moreover, in this case, we have

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\|.$$

We recall from [41] a few properties concerning positive free pluriharmonic functions which will be used in the present paper. We say that a free pluriharmonic function g is positive if $g(X) \geq 0$ for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})_1^n]$ and any Hilbert space.

Proposition 1.4. *Let f be a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})_1^n]$ with the representation*

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})_1^n].$$

Then

- (i) $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$ for any $m \geq 0$;
- (ii) if $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq \|A_{(0)} + A_{(0)}^*\|$$

for any $k \geq 1$.

Denote by $Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ the set of all free holomorphic functions f with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space, such that $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$, and let $H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ be the set of all bounded free holomorphic functions $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$ such that $I_{\mathcal{E}} - A_{(0)}$ is an invertible operator in $B(\mathcal{E})$. Consider also the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} Hol_1^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) &:= \{f \in Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) : f(0) = I\}, \\ H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) &:= \{g \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) : g(0) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the *noncommutative Cayley transform*

$$\Gamma : Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \rightarrow [H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1} \quad \text{defined by } \Gamma f := g,$$

where $g \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ is uniquely determined by the formal power series $\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}) := (\tilde{f} - 1)(1 + \tilde{f})^{-1}$, where $\tilde{f}$ is the power series associated with f . Of course, we need to show that Γ is well-defined.

The following result plays a key role in the present paper.

Theorem 1.5. *The noncommutative Cayley transform Γ is a bijection between $Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and the unit ball of $H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. In particular, Γ is a bijection between $Hol_1^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and the unit ball of $H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.*

Proof. First, we show that the map Γ is well-defined and Γf is in the unit ball of $H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Let f be in $Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and have the representation $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := B_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$. Since $\operatorname{Re} f(X) \geq 0$ for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})_1^n]$, we deduce that $B_{(0)} + B_{(0)}^* \geq 0$. As in the proof of Proposition 1.1, we can show that $I_{\mathcal{E}} + B_{(0)}$ is invertible. Taking into account that $S_\alpha^{(m)} = 0$ if $|\alpha| \geq m+1$, we have $f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(m)}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) &:= 2[f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^* + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] \\ &= [I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^*][I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] \\ &\quad - [f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^* - I][f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) - I]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$, we deduce that

$$\|[I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]x\| \geq \|[f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) - I]x\|$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. Consequently, there exists a contraction $G_m : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad G_m[I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] = f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) - I.$$

Using the fact that the operator $I_{\mathcal{E}} + B_{(0)}$ is invertible, we have

$$I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = [(I_{\mathcal{E}} + B_{(0)}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{P}_m}] [I_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_m} + \varphi(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})].$$

where $\varphi(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{|\alpha|=k} (I_{\mathcal{E}} + B_{(0)})^{-1} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}^{(m)}$. Since $[\varphi(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^{m+1} = 0$, it is clear that the operator $I_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_m} + \varphi(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ is invertible and

$$[I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^{-1} = [I_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_m} + \varphi(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^{-1} [(I_{\mathcal{E}} + B_{(0)})^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{P}_m}].$$

Therefore, relation (1.1) implies

$$(1.2) \quad G_m^* = [I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^*]^{-1} [f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^* - I].$$

Now, notice that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$(S_i^{(m+1)})^*|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = (S_i^{(m)})^* = S_i^*|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}.$$

Hence, $G_{m+1}^*|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = G_m^*$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. One can prove that there is a unique contraction $G \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ such that $G^*|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = G_m^*$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if $x \in \mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ let $q_m := P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} x$ and notice that $\{G_m^* q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, we can define $G^* x := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m^* q_m$. Since $\|G_m\| \leq 1$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so is the operator G .

Taking into account that $R_i S_j = S_j R_i$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and that $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is an invariant subspace under each operator $R_1^*, \dots, R_n^*$, $S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*$, we deduce that

$$(S_j^{(m+1)})^* R_i^*|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m+1)}} = R_i^* (S_j^{(m+1)})^* \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence and due to the form of the operator G_m , we have

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) G_m^* = G_m^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| = k$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) G^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) &= (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) G_{k+1}^* e_{\alpha g_i} = G_{k+1}^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) (x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) \\ &= G_{k+1}^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = G_k^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

and $G^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) (x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) = G^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = G_k^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha})$. Hence, we deduce that

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) G^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) = G^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) (x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ has the form $g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_p}$ with $g_{i_p} \neq i$, then $G^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = 0$ and

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) G^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = G_{k+1}^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = 0,$$

which shows that $G^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) G^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha})$. Therefore,

$$G(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i) G, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

According to [30], we deduce that G is in $B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} F_n^\infty$, the weakly closed algebra generated by the spatial tensor product. Due to [39], [41], there is a unique $g \in [H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$ having the boundary function G , i.e., $G = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Hence, and using the fact that $G^*|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = G_m^*$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} G_m &= \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g(rS_1^{(m)}, \dots, rS_n^{(m)}) = g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence and using relation (1.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) &= [f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) - I][I + f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^{-1} \\ &= \Gamma(f)(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $\tilde{g} = \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f})$, where $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ are the power series associated with f and g , respectively. On the other hand, since $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}_+[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$, Proposition 1.3 implies $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{C}_-[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$. Consequently, we have $\Gamma(f) = g \in [H_-^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$, which proves that the Cayley transform is well defined with values in $[H_-^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$.

To prove injectivity of Γ , let $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ such that $\Gamma f_1 = \Gamma f_2$. Then $\widetilde{\Gamma f_1} = \widetilde{\Gamma f_2}$ and due to Proposition 1.2, we deduce that $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$, which implies $f_1 = f_2$.

Now we prove that the noncommutative Cayley transform is surjective. First, we consider the case when $g \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and $\|g\| < 1$. Assume that g has the representation

$$g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Notice that $\|A_{(0)}\| < 1$ and, consequently, the operator $I - A_{(0)}$ is invertible. Thus $g \in [H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$. Since $\|g\| < 1$, it is clear that $(1 - g)^{-1}(1 + g) \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes F_n^\infty$. According to [30], there is a unique Fourier representation

$$(1.3) \quad (1 - g)^{-1}(1 + g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha},$$

where

$$B_{(\alpha)} = P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}}(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes S_{\alpha}^*)(I - g)^{-1}(g + I)|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

with the property that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}$ is convergent in the operator norm topology for each $r \in [0, 1)$. Therefore, the map

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function. Due to the noncommutative von Neumann inequality [27], we have $\|g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq \|g\| < 1$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Using the functional calculus for row contractions [29], one can easily see that the operator $[I - g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^{-1}[g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) + I]$ is in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_n$ and, due to (1.3), it has the representation $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}$. Therefore,

$$(1.4) \quad [I - g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^{-1}[g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) + I] = f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Now, we prove that

$$(1.5) \quad f(X)^* + f(X) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Due to the properties of the noncommutative Poisson transform (see [33]), it is enough to show that

$$f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^* + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } r \in [0, 1).$$

Notice first that relation (1.4) implies

$$g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)[I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] = f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) - I.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2[f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^* + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] \\ &= [I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^*][I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] - [I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^*][I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] \\ &= [I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^*][I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] \\ &\quad - [I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^*]g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^*g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)[I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] \\ &= [I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^*][I - g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^*g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)][I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < 1$, we deduce that $f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^* + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$, which proves inequality (1.5). This shows that $f \in \text{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and, as in the proof of Proposition 1.1, one can see that the operator $I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is invertible. Now relation (1.4) implies

$$g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = [f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) - 1][I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^{-1} = (\Gamma f)(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Hence and due to the uniqueness of Fourier representation of the elements of $B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} F_n^\infty$, we deduce that $\Gamma(f) = g$.

Now we consider the general case. Assume that $\psi \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ has the representation $\psi(X) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$ and $\|\psi\| \leq 1$. For each $\epsilon \in [0, 1)$ we set $g_\epsilon := \epsilon\psi$. Since $\|g_\epsilon\| < 1$, we can apply our previous result to g_ϵ and find $f_\epsilon \in Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ such that $\Gamma(f_\epsilon) = g_\epsilon$. Assume that f_ϵ has the representation $f_\epsilon(X) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon)} \otimes X_\alpha$ for some $A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$. Since $\psi \in [H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$, the operator $I_{\mathcal{E}} - B_{(0)}$ is invertible and $\|B_{(0)}\| \leq 1$. Taking into account that the mapping $\epsilon \mapsto (I - \epsilon B_{(0)})^{-1}$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$, we can find a constant $M > 0$ such that $\sup_{\epsilon \in [0, 1]} \|(I - \epsilon B_{(0)})^{-1}\| \leq M$. On the other hand, since g_ϵ is the noncommutative Cayley transform of f_ϵ , we have $f_\epsilon(0) = (1 - \epsilon g(0))^{-1}(1 + \epsilon g(0))$. Hence we deduce that $A_{(0)}^{(\epsilon)} = (1 - \epsilon B_{(0)})^{-1}(1 + \epsilon B_{(0)})$ and

$$\|A_{(0)}^{(\epsilon)}\| \leq 2M \quad \text{for any } \epsilon \in [0, 1].$$

On the other hand, since $\operatorname{Re} f_\epsilon \geq 0$, we can use Proposition 1.4 and deduce that

$$(1.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon)} \right)^* A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq 2 \|A_{(0)}^{(\epsilon)}\| \leq 4M$$

for any $m = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Hence, we have $\|A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon)}\| \leq 4M$ for any $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

Due to Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the ball $[B(\mathcal{E})]_{4M}^-$ is compact in the w^* -topology. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space, $[B(\mathcal{E})]_{4M}^-$ is a metric space in the w^* -topology which coincides with the weak operator topology on $[B(\mathcal{E})]_{4M}^-$. Consequently, the diagonal process guarantees the existence of a sequence $\{\epsilon_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subset [0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 1$ and, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $C_{(\alpha)} := \text{WOT-}\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 1} A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon_k)}$ exists. The inequality (1.6) implies

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} C_{(\alpha)}^* C_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq 4M$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Consequently, we have $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} C_{(\alpha)}^* C_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2m} \leq 1$, which implies that

$$g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=m} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function.

Since $\operatorname{Re} f_{\epsilon_k}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we have $\operatorname{Re} f_{\epsilon_k}(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \geq 0$ for any $p \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Notice that, due to the fact that $S_\alpha^{(p)} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq p+1$, we have $f_{\epsilon_k}(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) = \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon_k)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(p)}$ and $g(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) = \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{|\alpha|=m} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(p)}$. Since for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $C_{(\alpha)} := \text{WOT-}\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 1} A_{(\alpha)}^{(\epsilon_k)}$, and $\operatorname{Re} f_{\epsilon_k}(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \geq 0$, we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} g(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \geq 0$ for any $p \geq 1$. Applying again Proposition 1.4, we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Therefore, $g \in Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.

Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma g](S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) &= \left[g(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) - I \right] \left[I + g(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \text{WOT-}\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 1} \left\{ \left[f_{\epsilon_k}(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) - I \right] \left[I + f_{\epsilon_k}(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \right]^{-1} \right\} \\ &= \text{WOT-}\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 1} [\Gamma f_{\epsilon_k}](S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 1} \epsilon_k \psi(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \\ &= \psi(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $p = 0, 1, \dots$. Hence, we deduce that $\Gamma g = \psi$. The last part of the theorem is now obvious. The proof is complete. $\square$

Let $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ be the set of all bounded free analytic functions with scalar coefficients, and denote by $Hol_{\mathbb{C}}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ the set of all free holomorphic functions f with scalar coefficients such that $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$. Theorem 1.5, provides a noncommutative Cayley transform

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} : Hol_{\mathbb{C}}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \rightarrow \{f \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) : \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } f(0) \neq 1\}.$$

In this case, we can say more.

Corollary 1.6. *The Cayley transform $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with a bijection between $Hol_{\mathbb{C}}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and $(F_n^{\infty})_{\leq 1} \setminus \{I\}$, where F_n^{∞} is the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra.*

Proof. According to [39], the algebra $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ is completely isometric isomorphic to the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^{∞} . Therefore, due to Theorem 1.5, it is enough to show that the set $\{f \in F_n^{\infty} : \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } f(0) \neq 1\}$ coincides with $(F_n^{\infty})_{\leq 1} \setminus \{I\}$. Since one of the inclusions is obvious, assume that $g \in (F_n^{\infty})_{\leq 1} \setminus \{I\}$ and has the representation $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$. According to the Wiener type inequality for the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^{∞} (see [22]), we have

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |a_{\alpha}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 1 - |g(0)|^2 \quad \text{for any } k \geq 1.$$

Consequently, if $g(0) = 1$, then $a_{\alpha} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, whence $g = I$, a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $g(0) \neq 1$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Denote by $\mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of all noncommutative polynomials of degree $\leq m$. Let $\mathcal{A}_-^{(m)}$ be the set of all operators $q(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)})$, where $q \in \mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and $I - q(0)$ is invertible. We also denote by $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ the set of all operators $p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ with $\operatorname{Re} p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$. We consider now the truncated (or constrained) Cayley transforms $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and point out the connection with the noncommutative Cayley transform Γ .

Corollary 1.7. *The Cayley transform $\mathcal{C}^{(m)} : \mathcal{L}^{(m)} \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}_-^{(m)}]_{\leq 1}$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{C}^{(m)}(Y) := (Y - I)(I + Y)^{-1}, \quad Y \in \mathcal{L}^{(m)},$$

is a bijection and its inverse is given by

$$[\mathcal{C}^{(m)}]^{-1}(X) := (I + X)(I - X)^{-1}, \quad X \in [\mathcal{A}_-^{(m)}]_{\leq 1}.$$

Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}[g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] = [\Gamma g](S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for any $g \in Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$;
- (ii) $[\mathcal{C}^{(m)}]^{-1}[f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] = [\Gamma f]^{-1}(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for any $f \in [H_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$.

Proof. If $Y \in \mathcal{L}^{(m)}$, then $Y + Y^* \geq 0$ and, as in the proof of Theorem 1.5, there exists a contraction $A_m : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ such that $A_m = (I - Y)(I + Y)^{-1}$. It is easy to see that A_m has the form $p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for some polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ with $I - p(0)$ invertible, which shows that $A_m \in [\mathcal{A}_-^{(m)}]_{\leq 1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$ is well-defined and $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}(Y) = A_m$. As in the proof of Proposition 1.3, one can prove that the Cayley transform $\Gamma^{(m)}$ is one-to-one.

To prove the surjectivity of $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$, let $X = q(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ be in $[\mathcal{A}_-^{(m)}]_{\leq 1}$. Since $I - q(0)$ invertible, so is the operator $I - X$ and

$$(1.7) \quad Y := (I + X)(I - X)^{-1}$$

has the form $r(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$, where $r \in \mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$. Notice also that $X(I + Y) = Y - I$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 2(Y + Y^*) &= (I + Y)^*(I + Y) - (I - Y^*)(I - Y) \\ &= (I + Y)^*(I + Y) - (I + Y^*)X^*X(I + Y) \\ &= (I + Y^*)(I - X^*X)(I + Y) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Y \in \mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ and relation (1.7) implies $X = (Y - I)(Y + I)^{-1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$ is a bijection.

If $g \in \text{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ then $g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ is in $\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma g)(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) &= [g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) - I][I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{C}^{(m)}[g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, item (i) holds. Setting $f = \Gamma g$ in (i) and using Theorem 1.5, one can easily deduce item (ii). The proof is complete. $\square$

We mention that the noncommutative Cayley transform will be a key tool in a forthcoming paper, where we study free pluriharmonic majorants.

2. FREE HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH POSITIVE REAL PARTS AND NEVANLINNA-PICK INTERPOLATION

In this section we solve the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts on the noncommutative open unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, and obtain several characterizations for the scalar representations of free holomorphic functions with positive real parts.

We begin with a few preliminaries concerning the eigenvectors of the creation operators on the full Fock space. Let $\lambda := (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(n)})$ be in the open unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{B}_n := \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| := (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2)^{1/2} < 1\}.$$

We define the vectors $z_\lambda \in F^2(H_n)$ by

$$z_\lambda := (1 - \bar{\lambda}^{(1)}S_1 - \dots - \bar{\lambda}^{(n)}S_n)(1)$$

and recall (see [31]) that

$$(2.1) \quad S_i^* z_\lambda = \bar{\lambda}^{(i)} z_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad R_i^* z_\lambda = \bar{\lambda}^{(i)} z_\lambda, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ (resp. $R_1, \dots, R_n$) are the left (resp. right) creation operators on the full Fock space. If $\varphi(X) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$ is a free holomorphic function on the operatorial ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, then

$$\varphi_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha$$

is in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$ (the spatial tensor product), for each $r \in [0, 1]$. One can prove that

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \varphi_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)^*(h \otimes z_\lambda), h' \otimes z_\mu \rangle = \langle \varphi_r(\lambda)^* h, h' \rangle \langle z_\lambda, z_\mu \rangle$$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $h, h' \in \mathcal{K}$, and

$$\langle \varphi_r(\lambda) h, h' \rangle = \langle \varphi_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)(h \otimes 1), h' \otimes z_\lambda \rangle = \langle \varphi_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)(h \otimes u_\lambda), h' \otimes u_\lambda \rangle,$$

where $u_\lambda := \frac{z_\lambda}{\|z_\lambda\|}$. We remark that similar results hold for $\varphi_r(R_1, \dots, R_n)$.

In what follows we solve the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct points in the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$ and let $W_0, W_1, \dots, W_k$ be in $B(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space. Then there exists a free holomorphic function f with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that*

- (i) $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$ and
- (ii) $f(\lambda_j) = W_j$ for any $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$,

if and only if the operator matrix

$$(2.3) \quad \left[\frac{W_i + W_j^*}{1 - \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} \right]_{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$$

is positive semidefinite.

Proof. First, assume that f is a free holomorphic function satisfying properties (i) and (ii). Then, for any $r \in [0, 1]$, $f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$ and, due to relation (2.2), we have

$$\langle f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)^*(h_i \otimes z_{\lambda_i}), h_j \otimes z_{\lambda_j} \rangle = \langle z_{\lambda_i}, z_{\lambda_j} \rangle \langle f_r(\lambda_i)^* h_i, h_j \rangle$$

for any $h_i \in \mathcal{E}$ and $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Define the vector $\xi := \sum_{j=0}^k h_j \otimes z_{\lambda_j}$, where $h_j \in \mathcal{E}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)^* + f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)]\xi, \xi \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^k \langle z_{\lambda_j}, z_{\lambda_i} \rangle \langle (f_r(\lambda_i) + f_r(\lambda_j)^*)h_j, h_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^k \left\langle \frac{1}{1 - \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} (f_r(\lambda_i) + f_r(\lambda_j)^*)h_j, h_i \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$, we have $\langle [f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)^* + f_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)]\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1]$. Consequently, the operator matrix

$$\left[\frac{f_r(\lambda_i) + f_r(\lambda_j)^*}{1 - \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} \right]_{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$$

is positive semidefinite for each $r \in [0, 1]$. Since f is a free holomorphic function, it is also continuous on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Taking $r \rightarrow 1$ we deduce that

$$\left[\frac{f(\lambda_i) + f(\lambda_j)^*}{1 - \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} \right]_{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$$

is positive semidefinite.

Conversely, assume that the operator matrix (2.3) is positive semidefinite. Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{N} := \operatorname{span} \{z_{\lambda_j} : j = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$. Since the vectors z_{λ_j} , $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$, are linearly independent, one can define the bounded linear operator $A : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ by setting

$$(2.4) \quad A^*(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) := W_j^* h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{E}$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Using again the vector $\xi := \sum_{j=0}^k h_j \otimes z_{\lambda_j}$, where $h_j \in \mathcal{E}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A^* + A)\xi, \xi \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^k W_j^* h_j \otimes z_{\lambda_j}, \sum_{i=0}^k h_i \otimes z_{\lambda_i} \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^k h_j \otimes z_{\lambda_j}, \sum_{i=0}^k W_i^* h_i \otimes z_{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^k \langle z_{\lambda_j}, z_{\lambda_i} \rangle \langle (W_i + W_j^*)h_j, h_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^k \left\langle \frac{W_i + W_j^*}{1 - \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} h_j, h_i \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $A^* + A \geq 0$. According to Proposition 1.1, $I + A$ is invertible and the Cayley transform of A is the operator $T \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ defined by $T = (A - I)(I + A)^{-1}$. Moreover, T is a contraction with

$I - T$ invertible. Since $W_j^* + W_j \geq 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, one can use again Proposition 1.1, to deduce that the operator $I + W_j^*$ is invertible. Due to relation (2.4), we have

$$(I + A^*)^{-1}(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) = [(I + W_j^*)^{-1}h] \otimes z_{\lambda_j}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) &= (A^* - I)(I + A^*)^{-1}(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) \\ &= (A^* - I)[(I + W_j^*)^{-1}h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}] \\ &= [(W_j^* - I)(I + W_j^*)^{-1}h] \otimes z_{\lambda_j} \end{aligned}$$

for any $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. On the other hand, the operator $\Lambda_j^* := (W_j^* - I)(I + W_j^*)^{-1}$ is the Cayley transform of W_j and, consequently, Λ_j is a contraction with $I - \Lambda_j$ invertible for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Therefore, we have

$$(2.5) \quad T^*(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) = \Lambda_j^* h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, define $X_i \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ by $X_i := I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes P_{\mathcal{N}} S_i|_{\mathcal{N}}$, where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space. Using relation (2.1), a simple calculation reveals that

$$X_i^* T^*(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) = T^* X_i^*(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j})$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{E}$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is an invariant subspace under $S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*$ and T commutes with each $X_1, \dots, X_n$, one can apply the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem [24] and use the characterization of the commutant of $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ from [30], to find a contraction $\Phi(R_1, \dots, R_n) := \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=p} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes R_{\alpha}$ in $B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} R_n^{\infty}$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}} \Phi(R_1, \dots, R_n)|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}} = T,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}$. Since $\text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Phi_r(R_1, \dots, R_n) = \Phi(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ and using the remarks preceding the theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_{\lambda_j}, z_{\lambda_j} \rangle \langle \Phi(\lambda_j)h, h' \rangle &= \langle \Phi(R_1, \dots, R_n)(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}), (h' \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) \rangle \\ &= \langle T(h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}), (h' \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) \rangle \\ &= \langle (h \otimes z_{\lambda_j}), (\Lambda_j^* h' \otimes z_{\lambda_j}) \rangle \\ &= \langle z_{\lambda_j}, z_{\lambda_j} \rangle \langle \Lambda_j h, h' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $h, h' \in \mathcal{K}$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. This clearly implies $\Phi(\lambda_j) = \Lambda_j$ for any $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$.

Now, since $I - \Phi(0) = I - \Lambda_0$ is invertible, we can apply Theorem 1.5 to $\Phi \in [H^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$, in order to find a free holomorphic function f with $\text{Re } f \geq 0$ such that $\Gamma f = \Phi$. Consequently, we have $\tilde{\Phi}(1 + \tilde{f}) = (\tilde{f} - 1)$, where $\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{f}$ are the power series associated the free holomorphic functions Φ and f , respectively. Since $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{B}_n$, we deduce that

$$\Phi(\lambda_j)[I + f(\lambda_j)] = f(\lambda_j) - I, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

On the other hand, taking into account that $I - \Phi(\lambda_j) = I - \Lambda_j$ is invertible for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_j) &= [I - \Phi(\lambda_j)]^{-1}[\Phi(\lambda_j) + I] \\ &= (I - \Lambda_j)^{-1}(\Lambda_j + I) = W_j. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

An important connection to analytic function theory in several complex variables is provided by the following characterizations of the scalar representations of free holomorphic functions with positive real parts.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a separable Hilbert space and let $F : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ be an operator-valued function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a free holomorphic function g with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} g \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(z) = g(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n;$$

- (ii) *there exists $\phi \in B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} F_n^\infty$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ and $I - \phi(0)$ invertible, and such that*

$$F(z) = [\Gamma^{-1}\phi](z) \quad \text{for any } z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where Γ is the noncommutative Cayley transform.

- (iii) *F is an operator-valued analytic function on $\mathbb{B}_n$ such that the map*

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{F(z) + F(w)^*}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in B(\mathcal{E})$$

is positive semidefinite;

- (iv) *there exists an n -tuple of isometries $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ with orthogonal ranges, and a bounded operator $W : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = W^*(I + z_1 V_1^* + \dots + z_n V_n^*)(I - z_1 V_1^* - \dots - z_n V_n^*)^{-1} W + i(\operatorname{Im} F(0))$$

for any $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

Proof. The equivalence of (i) with (ii) is due to Theorem 1.5 and the identification of $H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ with $B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} F_n^\infty$. The implication (i) $\implies$ (iii) follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Now, assume that F satisfies condition (iii) and let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ be a countable dense set in $\mathbb{B}_n$ such that $\lambda_0 := 0$. Since, for each $k = 0, 1, \dots$, the operator matrix

$$\left[\frac{f(\lambda_i) + f(\lambda_j)^*}{1 - \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} \right]_{i,j=0,\dots,k}$$

is positive semidefinite, we can apply Theorem 2.1 to find a free holomorphic function $f_k(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_{(\alpha)}^{(k)} \otimes X_\alpha$ with coefficients $A_{(\alpha)}^{(k)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} f_k \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad f_k(\lambda_j) = F(\lambda_j) \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Let $C > 0$ be such that $\|F(0)\| \leq C$. Applying Proposition 1.4 to f_k , we deduce that

$$(2.9) \quad \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(A_{(\alpha)}^{(k)} \right)^* A_{(\alpha)}^{(k)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq \|F(0) + F(0)^*\| \leq 2C$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Hence, we have $\|A_{(\alpha)}^{(k)}\| \leq 2C$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

Due to Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the ball $[B(\mathcal{E})]_{2C}^-$ is compact in the w^* -topology. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space, $[B(\mathcal{E})]_{2C}^-$ is a metric space in the w^* -topology which coincides with the weak operator topology on $[B(\mathcal{E})]_{2C}^-$. Consequently, the diagonal process guarantees the existence of a sequence $\{r_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ such that $B_{(\alpha)} := \operatorname{WOT}\text{-}\lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)}$ exists. The inequality (2.9)

implies $\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} B_{(\alpha)}^* B_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq 2C$ for any $m \geq 0$. Consequently,

$$g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function. Since, $\operatorname{Re} f_k(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we have $\operatorname{Re} f_k(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \geq 0$ for any $p \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. Since, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $B_{(\alpha)} := \operatorname{WOT}\text{-}\lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)}$, we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} g(S_1^{(p)}, \dots, S_n^{(p)}) \geq 0$ for any $p \geq 1$. Due to Proposition 1.4, we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $r := \|\lambda\|_2 < 1$. We use the notation $\lambda_\alpha := \lambda^{(i_1)} \dots \lambda^{(i_k)}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k}$ and $\lambda_{g_0} := 1$. Cauchy's inequality and relation (2.9) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \lambda_\alpha A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} \right)^* A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} \right\|^{1/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda^{(i)}|^2 \right)^{m/2} = 2Cr^m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain $\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \lambda_\alpha B_{(\alpha)} \right\| \leq 2Cr^m$. Consequently, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{m \geq N+1} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \lambda_\alpha A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m \geq N+1} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \lambda_\alpha B_{(\alpha)} \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{r_k}(\lambda) - g(\lambda)\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \lambda_\alpha (A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} - B_{(\alpha)}) \right\| + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^N \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} (A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} - B_{(\alpha)})^* (A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} - B_{(\alpha)}) \right\|^{1/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^N \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=m} (A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} - B_{(\alpha)})^* (A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)} - B_{(\alpha)}) \right\|^{1/2} + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $B_{(\alpha)} := \text{WOT-} \lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} A_{(\alpha)}^{(r_k)}$, we deduce that

$$\text{WOT-} \lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} f_{r_k}(\lambda_j) = g(\lambda_j) \quad \text{for any } j = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence, and using (2.8), we obtain $g(\lambda_j) = F(\lambda_j)$ for any $j = 0, 1, \dots$. Now, let us prove that $g(\zeta) = F(\zeta)$ for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}_n$. To this end, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n$ such that $\lambda \neq \lambda_j$ for any $j = 0, 1, \dots$. Applying the preceding argument, we find a free holomorphic function φ such that $\text{Re } \varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi(z) = F(z)$ for any $z \in \{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \cup \{\lambda\}$. Since, for any $h, h' \in \mathcal{E}$, the maps $\zeta \mapsto \langle \varphi(\zeta)h, h' \rangle$ and $\zeta \mapsto \langle g(\zeta)h, h' \rangle$ are analytic on $\mathbb{B}_n$, thus continuous, and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is dense in $\mathbb{B}_n$, we must have $\varphi(\zeta) = g(\zeta)$ for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}_n$. In particular, we have $g(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda) = F(\lambda)$. Since λ is an arbitrary point in $\mathbb{B}_n$, we deduce that $g(\lambda) = F(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n$. This completes the proof of the implication (iii) $\implies$ (i).

Now, we prove that (i) $\implies$ (iv). Since g is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and $\text{Re } g \geq 0$, Theorem 5.2 from [41] shows that g has the representation

$$(2.10) \quad g(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})[2(I - R_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - R_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I] + i(\text{Im } g(0)) \otimes I$$

for some completely positive linear map μ from the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ to $B(\mathcal{E})$. On the other hand, due to Stinespring's representation theorem (see [45]), there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, a bounded operator $W : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, and a $*$ -representation $\pi : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\mu(g) = W^* \pi(g) W, \quad g \in C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n).$$

Notice that $V_i := \pi(R_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are isometries with orthogonal ranges. Hence and using (2.10), we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad g(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (W^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})[2(I - V_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - V_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I](W \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) + i(\text{Im } g(0)) \otimes I.$$

On the other hand, since $V_1, \dots, V_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges and $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we have

$$\|V_1^* \otimes X_1 + \dots + V_n^* \otimes X_n\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^* \right\|^{1/2} < 1.$$

Consequently, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (I + V_1^* \otimes X_1 + \dots + V_n^* \otimes X_n)(I - V_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - V_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} \\ = [2(I - V_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - V_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, and using (2.11), we obtain the representation (2.7), if we take $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, (iv) holds.

It remains to prove that (iv) $\implies$ (iii). First, notice that we can assume that $\text{Im } F(0) = 0$. Let $V_1, \dots, V_n$ be isometries with orthogonal ranges acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and $W : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a bounded operator such that,

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = W^*(I + z_1 V_1^* + \dots + z_n V_n^*)(I - z_1 V_1^* - \dots - z_n V_n^*)^{-1} W$$

for any $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Since $\|z_1 V_1^* + \dots + z_n V_n^*\| < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{\mathcal{K}} + z_1 V_1^* + \dots + z_n V_n^*)(I_{\mathcal{K}} - z_1 V_1^* - \dots - z_n V_n^*)^{-1} \\ = I_{\mathcal{K}} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z_1 V_1^* + \dots + z_n V_n^*)^k = I_{\mathcal{K}} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} z_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^* \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence of the series is in the operator norm topology of $B(\mathcal{K})$. Consequently,

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = W^* W + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} z_{\alpha} W^* V_{\alpha}^* W \right), \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

This shows that $\mathbb{B}_n \ni z \mapsto F(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in B(\mathcal{E})$ is an operator-valued analytic function in $\mathbb{B}_n$. On the other hand, notice that

$$G(X_1, \dots, X_n) := 2(I - S_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - S_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function with coefficients in $B(F^2(H_n))$. Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } G(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= (I - S_1 \otimes X_1^* - \dots - S_n \otimes X_n^*)^{-1} + (I - S_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - S_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I \\ &= (I - S_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - S_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} [I \otimes (I - X_1 X_1^* - \dots - X_n X_n^*) \\ &\quad (I - S_1 \otimes X_1^* - \dots - S_n \otimes X_n^*)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, $\text{Re } G(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Now, we can apply the implication (i) $\implies$ (iii) and deduce that the map

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{G(z) + G(w)^*}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in B(\mathcal{E})$$

is positive semidefinite. On the other hand, since $V_1, \dots, V_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, there exists a unique $*$ -representation $\pi : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\pi(S_{\alpha} S_{\beta}^*) = V_{\alpha} V_{\beta}^*$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ (see [6]). Consider the completely positive linear map $\omega_W : B(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ defined by $\omega_W(Y) := W^* Y W$. Using the above calculations, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \omega_W \left[I_{\mathcal{K}} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} z_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}^* \right) \right] \\ &= (\omega_W \circ \pi) \left[I_{F^2(H_n)} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} z_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^* \right) \right] \\ &= (\omega_W \circ \pi)(G(z)) \end{aligned}$$

for any $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Hence, and using the fact that the map (2.12) is positive semidefinite and $\omega_W \circ \pi$ is a completely positive linear map, we deduce that the map (2.6) is positive semidefinite. The proof is complete. $\square$

We remark that if $F(0) = I$ in Theorem 2.2, then the representation (2.7) becomes

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = P_{\mathcal{E}}(I + z_1 V_1^* + \dots + z_n V_n^*)(I - z_1 V_1^* - \dots - z_n V_n^*)^{-1}|_{\mathcal{E}}$$

for any $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$, where $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{E}$ and $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{E}$.

A closer look at the proof of Theorem 2.2 and using Corollary 1.6, enable us to deduce the following scalar version of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex-valued function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists a free holomorphic function g with scalar coefficients such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} g \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = f(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n;$$

(ii) *there exists $\phi \in F_n^\infty$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$, $\phi \neq I$, and such that $f(z) = [\Gamma^{-1}\phi](z)$, $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, where Γ is the noncommutative Cayley transform;*

(iii) *f is analytic and has the property that the map*

$$\mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{f(z) + \overline{f(w)}}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in \mathbb{C}$$

is positive semidefinite;

(iv) *there exists an n -tuple of isometries $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ with orthogonal ranges on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \langle (I + z_1 V_1^* + \dots + z_n V_n^*)(I - z_1 V_1^* - \dots - z_n V_n^*)^{-1} \xi, \xi \rangle + i(\operatorname{Im} f(0))$$

for any $z := (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$;

(v) *there exists a completely positive linear map $\nu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \nu[(I + z_1 R_1^* + \dots + z_n R_n^*)(I - z_1 R_1^* - \dots - z_n R_n^*)^{-1}] + i(\operatorname{Im} f(0))$$

for any $z := (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

We mention that the implication (iii) $\implies$ (iv) was also proved in [18] using different techniques.

3. FREE HOLOMORPHIC (RESP. ANALYTIC) EXTENSIONS

We need to recall (see [43]) a few facts concerning the automorphisms of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$. Let $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and consider $\psi_a \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, the automorphism of the unit ball, defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_a(z) := \frac{a - P_a z - s_a(I - P_a)z}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where $P_0 = 0$, $P_a z := \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a$ if $a \neq 0$, and $s_a := (1 - \langle a, a \rangle)^{1/2}$. The automorphism $\psi_a : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n$ has the following properties:

- (i) $\psi_a(0) = a$, $\psi_a(a) = 0$;
- (ii) $\psi_a(\psi_a(z)) = z$ for any $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$;
- (iii) $1 - \langle \psi_a(z), \psi_a(w) \rangle = \frac{(1 - \langle a, a \rangle)(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)}{(1 - \langle z, a \rangle)(1 - \langle a, w \rangle)}$ for any $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem regarding free holomorphic (resp. analytic) extensions of operator-valued functions defined on subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Λ be an arbitrary subset of $\mathbb{B}_n$ and let $F : \Lambda \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ be an operator-valued function, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *F has a free holomorphic extension G with positive real part, i.e.,*

$$\operatorname{Re} G \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G(z) = F(z), \quad z \in \Lambda;$$

(ii) *the map*

$$\Lambda \times \Lambda \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{F(z) + F(w)^*}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in B(\mathcal{E})$$

is positive semidefinite;

(iii) *F has an operator-valued analytic extension $\Phi : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that the map*

$$\mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{\Phi(z) + \Phi(w)^*}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in B(\mathcal{E})$$

is positive semidefinite.

Proof. Consider the case when $0 \in \Lambda$. If $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ is finite, then the result follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. Now, assume that Λ is infinite. If condition (ii) holds, we choose a sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset \Lambda$ dense in Λ and such that $\lambda_0 = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, one can find a free holomorphic function F with $\operatorname{Re} F \geq 0$ and $G(z) = F(z)$ for any $z \in \Lambda$. Therefore, item (i) holds. The other implications can be proved similarly to those of Theorem 2.2.

Consider the case when Λ is an arbitrary subset of $\mathbb{B}_n$. We prove the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i). Fix a point $a \in \Lambda$ and let $\psi_a \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ be the automorphism of the unit ball defined by relation (3.1). Consider $\Lambda_0 := \psi_a(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ and define the function $\Phi : \Lambda_0 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ by setting

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi(\xi) := F(\psi_a^{-1}(\xi)), \quad \xi \in \Lambda_0.$$

Assume that condition (ii) holds. First, we prove that the map

$$(3.3) \quad \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_0 \ni (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \frac{\Phi(\xi) + \Phi(\eta)^*}{1 - \langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \in B(\mathcal{E})$$

is positive semidefinite. To this end, let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \Lambda_0$ and $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{E}$, and set $z_i := \psi_a^{-1}(\xi_i) \in \Lambda$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Using the properties of the automorphism ψ_a , preceding this theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left\langle \frac{\Phi(\xi_i) + \Phi(\xi_j)^*}{1 - \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle} h_i, h_j \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left\langle \frac{F(z_i) + F(z_j)^*}{1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle} \frac{1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle}{1 - \langle \psi_a(z_i), \psi_a(z_j) \rangle} h_i, h_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left\langle \frac{F(z_i) + F(z_j)^*}{1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle} \frac{(1 - \langle z_i, a \rangle)(1 - \langle a, z_j \rangle)}{1 - \langle a, a \rangle} h_i, h_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left\langle \frac{F(z_i) + F(z_j)^*}{1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle} x_i, x_j \right\rangle \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_i := \frac{1 - \langle z_i, a \rangle}{\sqrt{1 - \langle a, a \rangle}} h_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

This proves our assertion.

Now, since $0 = \psi_a(a) \in \Lambda_0$ and the map given by (3.3) is positive semidefinite, we can apply the first part of the proof to find an operator-valued analytic function $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that the map

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \ni (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(\xi) + \tilde{\Phi}(\eta)^*}{1 - \langle \xi, \eta \rangle} \in B(\mathcal{E})$$

is positive semidefinite and such that $\tilde{\Phi}$ extends Φ , i.e.,

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(\xi) = \Phi(\xi) \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \Lambda_0.$$

Define the operator-valued analytic function $g : \mathbb{B}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ by setting

$$(3.6) \quad g(z) := \tilde{\Phi}(\psi_a(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Let $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{E}$, and set $\eta_i := \psi_a^{-1}(z_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Using the properties of the automorphism ψ_a , similar calculations as above imply

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left\langle \frac{g(z_i) + g(z_j)^*}{1 - \langle z_i, z_j \rangle} h_i, h_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left\langle \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(\eta_i) + \tilde{\Phi}(\eta_j)^*}{1 - \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle} y_i, y_j \right\rangle$$

where

$$y_i := \frac{1 - \langle \eta_i, a \rangle}{\sqrt{1 - \langle a, a \rangle}} h_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Since the map given by (3.4) is positive semidefinite, so is the map

$$\mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \ni (z, w) \mapsto \frac{g(z) + g(w)^*}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \in B(\mathcal{E}).$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 to the analytic function g , we find a free holomorphic function G with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ with $\operatorname{Re} G \geq 0$ and $G(z) = g(z)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Hence and using relations (3.2), (3.5), and (3.6), we deduce that

$$G(z) = g(z) = \tilde{\Phi}(\psi_a(z)) = \Phi(\psi_a(z)) = F(z)$$

for any $z \in \Lambda$, which proves the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i). The implication (i) $\implies$ (ii) follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Since (iii) $\implies$ (ii) is obvious and implication (i) $\implies$ (iii) was proved in Theorem 2.2, the proof is complete. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. AGLER AND J. E. MCCARTHY, *Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2002.
- [2] A. ARIAS AND G. POPESCU, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 205–234.
- [3] W.B. ARVESON, The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **522** (2000), 173–236.
- [4] J. A. BALL, T. T. TRENT, AND V. VINNIKOV, Interpolation and commutant lifting for multipliers on reproducing kernels Hilbert spaces, *Operator Theory and Analysis: The M.A. Kaashoek Anniversary Volume*, pages 89–138, **OT 122**, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2001.
- [5] J. A. BALL AND V. VINNIKOV, Lax-Phillips Scattering and Conservative Linear Systems: A Cuntz-Algebra Multidimensional Setting, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **837** (2005).
- [6] J. CUNTZ, Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [7] K. R. DAVIDSON, E. KATSOLIS, AND D.R. PITTS, The structure of free semigroup algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **533** (2001), 99–125.
- [8] K. R. DAVIDSON AND D.R. PITTS, Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation for noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.* **31** (1998), 321–337.
- [9] K. R. DAVIDSON AND D.R. PITTS, The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), 275–303.
- [10] K. R. DAVIDSON AND D.R. PITTS, Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 401–430.
- [11] J. ESCHMEIER AND M. PUTINAR, Spherical contractions and interpolation problems on the unit ball, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **542** (2002), 219–236.
- [12] C. FOIAS, A. E. FRAZHO, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [13] J.W. HELTON, “Positive” noncommutative polynomials are sums of squares, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **156** (2002), no.2, 675–694.
- [14] J.W. HELTON AND S.A. MCCULLOUGH, A Positivstellensatz for non-commutative polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), no. 9, 3721–3737.
- [15] J.W. HELTON, S.A. MCCULLOUGH, AND M. PUTINAR, A non-commutative Positivstellensatz on isometries, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **568** (2004), 71–80.
- [16] J.W. HELTON, S.A. MCCULLOUGH, AND V. VINNIKOV, Noncommutative convexity arises from linear matrix inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **240** (2006), no. 1, 105–191.

- [17] G. HERGLOTZ, Über Potenzreihen mit positiven, reellen Teil im Einheitskreis, Berichte über die Verhandlungen der königlich sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, *Math.-Phys. Klasse* **63** (1911), 501–511.
- [18] J.E. MCCARTHY AND M. PUTINAR, Positivity aspects of the Fantappiè transform, *J. Anal. Math.* **97** (2005), 57–82.
- [19] P.S. MUHLY AND B. SOLEL, Tensor algebras over C^* -correspondences: representations, dilations, and C^* -envelopes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **158** (1998), 389–457.
- [20] P.S. MUHLY AND B. SOLEL, Hardy algebras, W^* -correspondences and interpolation theory, *Math. Ann.* **330** (2004), 353–415.
- [21] R. NEVANLINNA, Über beschränkte Functionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschriebene Werte annehmen, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A* **13** (1919), no. 1.
- [22] V.I. PAULSEN, G. POPESCU, AND D. SINGH, On Bohr’s inequality, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **85** (2002), 493–512.
- [23] G. PICK, Über die Beschränkungen analytischer Functionen welche durch vorgebene Functionswerte bewirkt sind, *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), 7–23.
- [24] G. POPESCU, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.
- [25] G. POPESCU, Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Operator Theory* **22** (1989), 51–71.
- [26] G. POPESCU, Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 693–710.
- [27] G. POPESCU, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.
- [28] G. POPESCU, On intertwining dilations for sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **167** (1992), 382–402.
- [29] G. POPESCU, Functional calculus for noncommuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **42** (1995), 345–356.
- [30] G. POPESCU, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.
- [31] G. POPESCU, Noncommutative disc algebras and their representations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2137–2148.
- [32] G. POPESCU, Interpolation problems in several variables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **227** (1998), 227–250.
- [33] G. POPESCU, Poisson transforms on some C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.
- [34] G. POPESCU, Curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over free semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **158** (2001), 264–309.
- [35] G. POPESCU, Similarity and ergodic theory of positive linear maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **561** (2003), 87–129.
- [36] G. POPESCU, Multivariable Nehari problem and interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **200** (2003), 536–581.
- [37] G. POPESCU, Entropy and Multivariable Interpolation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (868) (2006).
- [38] G. POPESCU, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **55** (2) (2006), 389–442.
- [39] G. POPESCU, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **241** (2006), 268–333.
- [40] G. POPESCU, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [41] G. POPESCU, Noncommutative transforms and free pluriharmonic functions, preprint 2007.
- [42] F. RIESZ, Sur certains systèmes singuliers d’équations intégrales, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (Paris)* **28** (1911), 33–62.
- [43] W. RUDIN, *Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-verlag, New-York/Berlin, 1980.
- [44] D. SARASON, Generalized interpolation in H^∞ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1967), 179–203.
- [45] W.F. STINESPRING, Positive functions on C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 211–216.
- [46] B. SZ.-NAGY AND K. KORÁNY, Relations d’un problème de Nevanlinna et Pick avec la théorie des opérateurs de l’espace Hilbertien, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **7** (1957), 295–302.
- [47] B. SZ.-NAGY AND C. FOIAŞ, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, New York 1970.
- [48] J. VON NEUMANN, Allgemeine Eigenwerttheorie Hermitescher Functionaloperatoren, *Math. Ann.* **102** (1929), 49–131.
- [49] J. VON NEUMANN, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249, USA
 E-mail address: gelu.popescu@utsa.edu